

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/736,549	12/17/2003	Curtis Corbins	017197-00001	9071
7590 11/02/2006			EXAMINER	
ARENT-FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC			TSO, EDWARD H	
Suite 400 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20036-5339			2838	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/736,549	CORBINS					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Edward H. Tso	2838	<u></u>				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	nddress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN.  136(a). In no event, however, may  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo  te. cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/	13/06						
		is action is non-final.						
3)								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under			io monto to				
Dispositi	on of Claims	,	, ,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	•				
		n						
	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5)∐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement						
,		or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examir	er.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b)  objected to	o by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form F	PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures  see the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in prity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this Nationa	al Stage				
2)	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	/ Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application 					

Application/Control Number: 10/736,549

Art Unit: 2838

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tung et al. (US 6,528,969) in view of Shy (US 6,215,273) and further in view of You (US 6,501,246). Tung discloses a mobile charger having a housing unit 24 and a receptacle to house a power source to charge the mobile device. First, it does not disclose a second receptacle to house a cord 3 which is used to connect the charger to the device. Shy discloses a charger for a larger device wherein a receptacle 40 is used to house the cord 46. The receptacle is screwed 17 onto the main housing and the back end is flat while the front end has a contour to match the overall housing. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have included a chamber to house the cord so the user does not lose the cord. Second, the power source is not in parallel with the connector when the two are connected and the connectors are cigar-type plugs. You discloses another type of mobile charger wherein the power source or batteries are in the same axis with the plug when the plug is connected to the mobile phone. It further includes a cover for the plug to prevent contaminants from coming into

Art Unit: 2838

contact with the terminals. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have oriented the position of the batteries so that a slim profile is achieved and since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70. Moreover, it would have been obvious also to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used any type of connectors including cigar-type connectors, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known materiale on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416. And the use of cigar-type connectors is well known in the art of battery charging.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Examiner at the below-listed number on every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Karl Easthom, can be reached at (571) 272-1989 on Monday-Thursday.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist at (571) 272-2800, Monday-Friday, 8:30am to 5:00pm, EST.

By:

EDWARD H TSO Primary Examiner (571) 272-2087